CMPSCI 670: Computer Vision
Optical flow and tracking

University of Massachusetts, Amherst
November 26, 2014*

Instructor: Subhransu Maji

* This class was cancelled due to snow

Visual motion

Many slides adapted from S. Seitz, R. Szeliski, M. Pollefeys

- Sometimes, motion is the only cue

- Sometimes, motion is the only cue

Motion and perceptual organization

- Even "impoverished" motion data can evoke a strong percept


G. Johansson, "Visual Perception of Biological Motion and a Model For Its Analysis", Perception and Psychophysics 14, 201-211, 1973.

Uses of motion

- Estimating 3D structure

- Segmenting objects based on motion cues

- Learning and tracking dynamical models

- Recognizing events and activities

- The motion field is the projection of the 3D scene motion into the image

Optical flow

- **Definition**: optical flow is the apparent motion of brightness patterns in the image

- Ideally, optical flow would be the same as the motion field

- Have to be careful: apparent motion can be caused by lighting changes without any actual motion
  - Think of a uniform rotating sphere under fixed lighting vs. a stationary sphere under moving illumination

Estimating optical flow

- Given two subsequent frames, estimate the apparent motion field $u(x,y)$ and $v(x,y)$ between them

$$I(x,y,t-1) \qquad\qquad I(x,y,t)$$

- Key assumptions
  - **Brightness constancy:** projection of the same point looks the same in every frame
  - **Small motion:** points do not move very far
  - **Spatial coherence:** points move like their neighbors

(x, y) displacement $= (u, v)$

$(x + u, y + v)$

$I(x,y,t{-}1)$

$I(x,y,t)$

Brightness Constancy Equation:

$$I(x, y, t - 1) = I(x + u(x, y), y + v(x, y), t)$$

Linearizing the right side using Taylor expansion:

$$I(x, y, t - 1) \approx I(x, y, t) + I_x\, u(x, y) + I_y\, v(x, y)$$

Hence, $\quad I_x\, u + I_y\, v + I_t \approx 0$

The brightness constancy constraint

$$I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0$$

- How many equations and unknowns per pixel?
  - One equation, two unknowns

- What does this constraint mean?

$$\nabla I \cdot (u, v) + I_t = 0$$

- The component of the flow perpendicular to the gradient (i.e., parallel to the edge) is unknown

The brightness constancy constraint

$$I_x\, u + I_y\, v + I_t = 0$$

- How many equations and unknowns per pixel?
  - One equation, two unknowns

- What does this constraint mean?

$$\nabla I \cdot (u, v) + I_t = 0$$

- The component of the flow perpendicular to the gradient (i.e., parallel to the edge) is unknown

gradient

(u,v)

If (u, v) satisfies the equation, so does $(u+u', v+v')$ if $\quad \nabla I \cdot (u', v') = 0$

(u',v')

$(u+u',v+v')$

edge

Perceived motion

Actual motion

The barber pole illusion

The barber pole illusion

Solving the aperture problem

- How to get more equations for a pixel?
- **Spatial coherence constraint:** pretend the pixel's neighbors have the same (u,v)
  - E.g., if we use a 5x5 window, that gives us 25 equations per pixel

$$\nabla I(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot [u, v] + I_t(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_x(\mathbf{x}_1) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ I_x(\mathbf{x}_2) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(\mathbf{x}_n) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} I_t(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ I_t(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(\mathbf{x}_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

B. Lucas and T. Kanade. An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 674–679, 1981.

- Least squares problem:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_x(\mathbf{x}_1) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ I_x(\mathbf{x}_2) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(\mathbf{x}_n) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} I_t(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ I_t(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(\mathbf{x}_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

- When is this system solvable?
  - What if the window contains just a single straight edge?

B. Lucas and T. Kanade. An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 674–679, 1981.

- "Bad" case: single straight edge

- "Good" case

Linear least squares problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_x(\mathbf{x}_1) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ I_x(\mathbf{x}_2) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(\mathbf{x}_n) & I_y(\mathbf{x}_n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} I_t(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ I_t(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(\mathbf{x}_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{b}$$
$$n\times 2 \ \ 2\times 1 \qquad n\times 1$$

Solution given by $(\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A})\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{b}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x I_x & \sum I_x I_y \\ \sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x I_t \\ \sum I_y I_t \end{bmatrix}$$

The summations are over all pixels in the window

B. Lucas and T. Kanade. An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 674–679, 1981.

Lucas-Kanade flow

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x I_x & \sum I_x I_y \\ \sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x I_t \\ \sum I_y I_t \end{bmatrix}$$

- Recall the Harris corner detector: $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}$ is the *second moment matrix*

- We can figure out whether the system is solvable by looking at the eigenvalues of the second moment matrix
  - The eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $\mathbf{M}$ relate to edge direction and magnitude
  - The eigenvector associated with the larger eigenvalue points in the direction of fastest intensity change, and the other eigenvector is orthogonal to it

Classification of image points using eigenvalues of the second moment matrix:

λ_2

"Edge"
$\lambda_2 \gg \lambda_1$

"Corner"
λ_1 and λ_2 are large,
$\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2$

λ_1 and λ_2 are small

"Flat" region

"Edge"
$\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_2$

λ_1

25

- gradients have small magnitude
- small λ_1, small λ_2
- system is ill-conditioned

– gradients have one dominant direction
– large λ_1, small λ_2
– system is ill-conditioned

– gradients have different directions, large magnitudes
– large λ_1, large λ_2
– system is well-conditioned

Lucas-Kanade
without pyramids

Fails in areas of large
motion

*** From Khurram Hassan-Shafique CAP5415 Computer Vision 2003**

Errors in Lucas-Kanade

- The motion is large (larger than a pixel)
  - Iterative refinement
  - Coarse-to-fine estimation
  - Exhaustive neighborhood search (feature matching)

- A point does not move like its neighbors
  - Motion segmentation

- Brightness constancy does not hold
  - Exhaustive neighborhood search with normalized correlation

# Multi-resolution registration

* From Khurram Hassan-Shafique CAP5415 Computer Vision 2003

Lucas-Kanade without pyramids

Fails in areas of large motion

* From Khurram Hassan-Shafique CAP5415 Computer Vision 2003

Lucas-Kanade with Pyramids

Feature tracking

- So far, we have only considered optical flow estimation in a pair of images

- If we have more than two images, we can compute the optical flow from each frame to the next

- Given a point in the first image, we can in principle reconstruct its path by simply "following the arrows"

Tracking challenges

- Ambiguity of optical flow
  - Need to find good features to track

- Large motions, changes in appearance, occlusions, disocclusions
  - Need mechanism for deleting, adding new features

- Drift – errors may accumulate over time
  - Need to know when to terminate a track

Shi-Tomasi feature tracker

- Find good features using eigenvalues of second-moment matrix
  - Key idea: "good" features to track are the ones whose motion can be estimated reliably

- From frame to frame, track with Lucas-Kanade
  - This amounts to assuming a translation model for frame-to-frame feature movement

- Check consistency of tracks by *affine* registration to the first observed instance of the feature
  - Affine model is more accurate for larger displacements
  - Comparing to the first frame helps to minimize drift

J. Shi and C. Tomasi. Good Features to Track. CVPR 1994.

Figure 1: Three frame details from Woody Allen's *Manhattan*. The details are from the 1st, 11th, and 21st frames of a subsequence from the movie.

Figure 2: The traffic sign windows from frames 1,6,11,16,21 as tracked (top), and warped by the computed deformation matrices (bottom).

J. Shi and C. Tomasi. Good Features to Track. CVPR 1994.

Start with something similar to Lucas-Kanade

+ gradient constancy

+ energy minimization with smoothing term

+ region matching

+ keypoint matching (long-range)

Region-based   **+Pixel-based**   **+Keypoint-based**

Large displacement optical flow, **Brox et al., CVPR 2009**

Source: J. Hays 39