# COMPSCI 240: Reasoning Under Uncertainty

Andrew Lan and Nic Herndon

University of Massachusetts at Amherst

Spring 2019

# Midterm II Solution

**Problem 1 (5**×4=20pts): Consider the pair of random variables X and Y that are uniformly distributed in the region  $E = \{(x, y) : |x| + |y| \le 1\}$ , i.e.,

$$f_{X,Y}(X=x,Y=y) = \left\{ egin{array}{cl} c & ext{if } x\in E, \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

The following figure helps you to visualize the region E.



- 1. What's the value of the constant c?
- 2. What's the marginal PDF of X?
- 3. What's the conditional PDF of X given Y, for  $0 \le y \le 1$ ?
- 4. Are X and Y independent? Justify your answer. You will not receive any points if you write only "yes" or "no".

1.  $1 = c \cdot \text{Area of } E = c \cdot \sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} = 2c \Rightarrow c = \frac{1}{2}$ Alternatively, using the normalization axiom, and the symmetry of PDF:

$$2\int_{0}^{1}\int_{x-1}^{1-x} cdydx = 2c\int_{0}^{1}y \bigg|_{x-1}^{1-x} dx = 2c\int_{0}^{1} \left[(1-x) - (x-1)\right] dx$$
$$= 4c\left(x - \frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)\bigg|_{0}^{1} = 2c = 1 \Rightarrow c = \frac{1}{2}$$

_	
_	
_	

$$f_{X}(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{-x-1}^{x+1} \frac{1}{2} dy & , -1 \le x \le 0\\ \int_{x-1}^{1-x} \frac{1}{2} dy & , 0 \le x \le 1\\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} x+1 & , -1 \le x \le 0\\ 1-x & , 0 \le x \le 1\\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1-|x| & , x \in [-1,1]\\ 0 & , x \notin [-1,1] \end{cases}$$

3. Using a similar calculation we have

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 1 - |y| & , y \in [-1, 1] \\ 0 & , y \notin [-1, 1] \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} f_{X|Y}(X|Y=y) &= \begin{cases} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} &, x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1), \text{ and } y-1 \leq x \leq 1-y\\ 0 &, \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1/2}{1-y} &, x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1), \text{ and } y-1 \leq x \leq 1-y\\ 0 &, \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

We can verify this is a valid PDF:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{y-1}^{1-y} \frac{1}{2(1-y)} dx dy = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{2(1-y)} \Big|_{y-1}^{1-y} dy = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-y) - (y-1)}{2(1-y)} dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} dy = y \Big|_{0}^{1} = 1 - 0 = 1$$

4. X and Y are independent if  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$ . However, when  $x, y \in [0, 1], f_{X,Y}(x, y) \neq f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$ , i.e.:

$$\frac{1}{2}\neq (1-x)(1-y)$$

Therefore, X and Y are not independent.

**Problem 2 (10pts)**: Let X and Y be two random variables, with var(X) = 4 and var(Y) = 9. If we know that the two random variables Z = 2X - Y and W = X + Y are independent, find  $\rho(X, Y)$ , i.e., the correlation between X and Y. **Solution:** Since Z and W are independent, we have cov(Z, W) = 0. Thus,

$$cov(Z, W) = cov(2X - Y, X + Y)$$
  
=  $E[(2X - Y)(X + Y)] - E[2X - Y]E[X + Y]$   
=  $E[2X^2 + XY - Y^2] - (2E[X] - E[Y])(E[X] + E[Y])$   
=  $2E[X^2] + E[XY] - E[Y^2] - 2E[X]^2 - E[X]E[Y] + E[Y]^2$   
=  $2(E[X^2] - E[X]^2) + (E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]) - (E[Y^2] - E[Y]^2)$   
=  $2var(X) + cov(X, Y) - var(Y)$   
=  $2 \cdot 4 + cov(X, Y) - 9$   
=  $cov(X, Y) - 1$   
=  $0 \Rightarrow cov(X, Y) = 1$ 

With this, we have all the components needed to calculate the correlation.

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X) \cdot \operatorname{var}(Y)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \cdot 9}} = \frac{1}{6}$$

**Problem 3 (5+5=10pts)**: A coin is biased so that its probability of landing on heads is 20%. Suppose you flip it 20 times.

- 1. Using Markov's bound, find a bound for the probability it lands on heads at least 16 times.
- 2. Since we know that the number of times the coin lands on its heads is a Binomial random variable, we can calculate the exact probability of the aforementioned event is  $1.38 \times 10^{-8}$ . Therefore, you can see that the bound we obtained is a loose one. Now, using Chebyshev's bound, find a tighter bound for this probability.

 Let X denote the number of times the coin lands on its head. This is a binomial random variable with n = 20 and p = 0.2. Thus, E[X] = np = 4. Using this in the Markov inequality we get

$$p(X \ge 16) \le \frac{E[X]}{16} = \frac{4}{16} = 0.25$$

2.  $var(X) = np(1-p) = 20 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8 = 3.2$ Using this in the Chebyshev inequality we have

$$P(|X - E[X]| \ge b) \le \frac{var(X)}{b^2}$$
$$P(|X - 4| \ge b) \le \frac{3.2}{b^2}$$

$$P(|X - 4| \ge b) = P(X - 4 \ge b) + P(X - 4 \le -b)$$
  
= P(X \ge 4 + b) + P(X \le 4 - b)

Since we want to approximate  $P(X \ge 16)$ , i.e., 4 + b = 16, let's set b = 12.

$$P(X \ge 4 + b) + P(X \le 4 - b) \le \frac{3.2}{b^2}$$
$$P(X \ge 16) + P(X \le -8) \le \frac{3.2}{12^2}$$
$$P(X \ge 16) + 0 \le \frac{3.2}{144}$$
$$P(X \ge 16) + \le 0.022$$

This bound is much tighter than the Markov bound.

**Problem 4 (10pts)**: Let today's high temperature be T. For this time of the year in Amherst, let's assume that T is a normal random variable with mean  $\mu = 50$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = 25$ . Let's say Andrew feels comfortable if today's high temperature is between two integers A and B, i.e.,  $A \leq T \leq B$ . He hasn't been here for long and is a little unsure about what to expect. So his lower temperature threshold A is a discrete random variable and takes two equally-likely values: 40 and 45. Similarly, his high temperature threshold B is also a discrete random variable and takes two equally-likely values: 55 and 60. Further assume that A and B are independent.

What is the probability that Andrew feels comfortable today?

Since A and B are independent,

$$P(A,B) = P(A) \cdot P(B) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ for } (A,B) \in \{(40,55), (40,60), (45,55), (45,60)\}$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{Y}$  denote the binary random variable that indicates whether Andrew feels comfortable.

$$P(Y = 1 | A = 40, B = 55) = P(A \le T \le B) = P(40 \le T \le 55)$$
$$= P\left(\frac{40 - 50}{\sqrt{25}} \le T' \le \frac{55 - 50}{\sqrt{25}}\right)$$
$$= \Phi(1) - \Phi(-2) = \Phi(1) - [1 - \Phi(2)]$$
$$= 0.84134 - (1 - 0.97725)$$
$$= 0.81859$$

where T' is the standardized random variable.

Similarly, we can calculate

$$P(Y = 1|A = 40, B = 60) = \Phi(2) - \Phi(-2) = 0.95450$$
$$P(Y = 1|A = 45, B = 55) = \Phi(1) - \Phi(-1) = 0.68268$$
$$P(Y = 1|A = 45, B = 60) = \Phi(2) - \Phi(-1) = 0.81859$$

Using the total probability theorem, we have

$$P(Y = 1) = P(A = 40, B = 55) \cdot P(Y = 1 | A = 40, B = 55)$$
  
+ P(A = 40, B = 60) \cdot P(Y = 1 | A = 40, B = 60)  
+ P(A = 45, B = 55) \cdot P(Y = 1 | A = 45, B = 55)  
+ P(A = 45, B = 60) \cdot P(Y = 1 | A = 45, B = 60)  
= 3.27436/4 = 0.81859

Alternatively, we could have used  $\Phi(\cdot)$  in our calculations, to get to the same result:

$$P(Y = 1) = \Phi(1) + \Phi(2) - 1 = 0.81859$$

# Problem EC

**Problem EC (10pts)**: Following the setup of Problem 4, let today's high temperature be T. Assume that T is a normal random variable with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . Let's say you don't know today's date, so your belief about  $\mu$  follows a normal distribution with mean m and variance  $\delta^2 = 1$ , i.e.,  $P(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(m, 1)$ . Now, at the end of the day, you observe that today's high temperature is actually t. Given this information, what is your new belief of  $\mu$ , i.e., what is  $P(\mu|T = t)$ ?

Hint: It has the same functional form as your initial belief, just different moments.

Quick reminder of PDF for normal random variables:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Using Bayes' rule, we know that  $P(\mu|T = t) = \frac{P(\mu, T = t)}{P(T = t)} = \frac{P(T = t|\mu) \cdot P(\mu)}{P(T = t)}$ . The terms in this equation that we know are

$$P(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\mu-m)^2}{2}}$$
 and  $P(T = t|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2}}$ 

So, we need to calculate P(T = t). We can calculate this by marginalizing over  $\mu$ :

$$\begin{split} P(T=t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(T=t,\mu) d\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(T=t|\mu) P(\mu) d\mu \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\mu-m)^2}{2}} d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2 + (\mu-m)^2}{2}} d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2 - 2\mu t + \mu^2 + \mu^2 - 2\mu m + m^2}{2}} d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2\mu^2 - 2\mu (t+m) + t^2 + m^2}{2}} d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left[\mu^2 - \mu (t+m) + \frac{t^2 + m^2}{2}\right]} d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left[\mu^2 - \mu (t+m) + (\frac{m+t}{2})^2 - (\frac{m+t}{2})^2 + \frac{t^2 + m^2}{2}\right]} d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\mu - \frac{m+t}{2}\right)^2} \cdot e^{\left[-(\frac{m+t}{2})^2 + \frac{t^2 + m^2}{2}\right]} d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\left[-(\frac{m+t}{2})^2 + \frac{t^2 + m^2}{2}\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\mu - \frac{m+t}{2}\right)^2} d\mu \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\left[-(\frac{m+t}{2})^2 + \frac{t^2 + m^2}{2}\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot \frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(\mu - \frac{m+t}{2})^2}{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}} d\mu \end{split}$$

since the integral is over a normal PDF with mean  $\frac{m+t}{2}$  and variance  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Thus, we have

$$P(\mu|T=t) = \frac{\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{(\mu-m)^2+(t-\mu)^2}{2}}}{\frac{\sqrt{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\left[-(\frac{m+t}{2})^2+\frac{t^2+m^2}{2}\right]}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\cdot\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{\left(\mu-\frac{m+t}{2}\right)^2}{2\cdot\frac{1}{2}}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{m+t}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

So, it's nothing more than a different normal distribution with  $\mu = \frac{m+t}{2}$  and  $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ . This means that after a new observation, your new belief gets dragged in the direction of your observation, T = t, with increased confidence (variance decreases from 1 to 1/2).

The key here is that your belief maintains the same functional form; which allows you to update it again after another observation T = t', and then another one, and so on. One can show that after *n* observations, the belief is still normal with

$$\mu_n = \frac{m + t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n}{n+1}$$
 and  $\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{n+1}$ 

which means that i) your measurement is going to be super accurate  $(\sigma_n^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty)$ , and ii) your point estimate is going to be the sample mean (plus counting the mean of your prior belief, *m*, as one additional sample).